

Mandatory requirements

- The (RFP) clarifies that any offers which is not able to fulfill the mentioned mandatory requirements shall be rejected.
- The given offers are to be assessed for the purpose of assurance that the assigned mandatory requirements are fulfilled or not.

What to score?

- The given RFP describes and illustrates all the beneficiary requirements according to the rules of mines and minerals.
- Except in the cases, if any element provided by the bidders seem not be appropriate for scoring so every element shall be assessed and scored.
- For the proper assurance of evaluation and assessment, every element provided shall simultaneously be evaluated.

How to score?

- Direct scoring means, in relation to the special need of offers each of the preferred bidder is scored one based on their merit.
- The scoring criteria is been considered from 0 to 4 and 2 means 50 %

Direct scoring:

- Is the general illustration based on the quality and quantity
- 0 indicates less or none response for the element of the bidder
- 1 indicates relative response to the element of the bidder
- 2 indicates satisfactory response to the element of the bidder
- 3 indicates good response to the element of the bidder
- 4 indicates great response to the bidder

To be considered in appropriate conditions:

- Level of details provided
- Level of knowledgeable comprehension regarding the element
- level to which the accuracy of the response is accepted
- Level of coordination and unity between this and the related response
- Level by which the response is backed
- Level in which the element is supported through the approval of the bidder or by the conditions of the mining agreement.
- In relation to the elements of bidding which indicates the main part of the technical offering and its experience in international industrialization.
- As a best option, and for the purpose of avoiding of abundance average symptoms we should ignore such symptoms and signs.

Grouping:

- For the purpose of presenting RFP a guidance is been determined for the bidder to complete the three criterions (offer or technical proposal with qualification, the quality and quantity of financial offer and the quality and quantity of social elements).
- Therefore, the bidders are not informed of the accurate details of evaluation.
- We suggest that the evaluation groups should be consist of technical, financial and social agreements.
- We believe in every category or group the required elements of offering which seem to be appropriate should be categorized.

Valuation:

- Valuation is sought in relative importance of every element
- Valuation for the scores given to bidding should be practiced
- We suggest to score the following four groups/categories with this manner

Technical 45%

Financial 30%

Social 15%

Mining agreement 10%

- The maximum added score to the valued bidder reaches to (400)